Mathematical Methods for Analysis of

Composite Quantum Systems with Infinite-dimensional State Spaces

Nicholas Wheeler, Reed College Physics Department Spring 2009

Introduction. Yoshihisa Yamamoto & Ataç İmamağlu, in §1.3.4 of their Mesoscopic Quantum Optics (1999), discuss aspects of the quantum theory of system/probe interaction in language that considers system and probe (or measurement device/meter) to be component parts of a composite system, and that assumes both system and probe are rich enough to support definitions of "conjugate observables" that satisfy $[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}] = i\hbar \mathbf{l}$. An implication of the latter assumption is that the state spaces \mathcal{H}_s and \mathcal{H}_m of system and probe are, of necessity, infinite-dimensional. We must therefore sacrifice a simplifying assumption standard to the quantum theory of composite systems; namely, that all relevant state spaces—all vectors and matrices—are finite-dimensional. We therefore lose the Kronecker product. My objective here is to develop the mathematical resources that permit us to live with that loss.

Tensor products in the infinite-dimensional case. Familiarly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1b_1 \\ a_1b_2 \\ a_1b_3 \\ a_2b_1 \\ a_2b_2 \\ a_2b_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

where on the right we see components of \boldsymbol{a} joined with components of \boldsymbol{b} in all possible ways, and the population of such products presented in a specific order. It is the latter convention that becomes unworkable—must be sacrificed—if either \boldsymbol{a} or \boldsymbol{b} is ∞ -dimensional.

Let vectors $\{|s\}$ comprise an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H}_s , and $\{|m\}$ comprise an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H}_m . Then every $|a\rangle$ in \mathcal{H}_s can be developed

$$|a\rangle = \sum a_s |s\rangle$$
 with $a_s = (s|a)$

and every $|b\rangle$ in \mathcal{H}_m can be developed

$$b) = \sum b_m |m)$$
 with $b_m = (m|b)$

We stipulate that $\mathcal{H}_s\otimes\mathcal{H}_m$ is an inner product space, with induced inner product structure

$$\left(\left(a \mid \otimes (b \mid) \right) \left(\mid c \right) \otimes \mid d \right) \right) = \left(a \mid c \right) \cdot \left(b \mid d \right)$$

Then

$$\left(\left(r \mid \otimes (m \mid) \right) \left(\mid s \right) \otimes \mid n\right)\right) = (r \mid s) \cdot (m \mid n) = \begin{cases} \delta_{rs} \cdot \delta_{mn} \\ \delta(r-s) \cdot \delta(m-n) \end{cases}$$

establishes the orthonormality of the basis vectors

$$|s,m) \equiv |s) \otimes |m)$$
 : elements of $\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{H}_s \otimes \mathcal{H}_m$

and

$$\sum_{s,m} |s,m)(s,m| = \sum_{s,m} (|s) \otimes |m) \big) \big((s| \otimes (m|) = \mathbf{I} \equiv \mathbf{I}_s \otimes \mathbf{I}_m$$

establishes their completeness.

If $|\psi)$ and $|\phi)$ describe the quantum state of system/meter respectively, then

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle = \sum_{s,m} (|s\rangle \otimes |m\rangle) \psi_s \phi_m$$

where $\psi_s = (s|\psi)$ and $\phi_m = (m|\phi)$. But the state of the composite system has more generally to be described

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{s,m} (|s\rangle \otimes |m\rangle) \Psi_{s,m}$$

where $\Psi_{s,m} = (s, m | \Psi)$. The state of the composite system is "entangled" unless—exceptionally—the numbers $\Psi_{s,m}$ can be factored: $\Psi_{s,m} = \psi_s \phi_m$.

Passing to density matrix language, we write

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_s = |\psi)(\psi| = \sum_{r,s} \psi_r |r)(s|\psi_s^*$$

to describe the disentangled pure state of the system, and a similar expression to describe the disentangled pure state $\rho_m = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ of the probe. Observe that

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_s \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_s = \sum_{r,s} \sum_{r',s'} \psi_r |r) (s|\psi_s^* \psi_{r'}|r') (s'|\psi_{s'}^*$$
$$= \sum_{r,s} \sum_{s'} \psi_r |r) \psi_s^* \psi_s (s'|\psi_{s'}^*$$
$$= \sum_r \sum_{s'} \psi_r |r) (s'|\psi_{s'}^* \quad \text{by} \quad \sum \psi_s^* \psi_s = 1$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\rho}_s$$

2

Tensor products in the infinite-dimensional case

and

$$\mathrm{tr} \pmb{\rho}_s = \sum_q \sum_{r,s} \psi_r(q|r)(s|q) \psi_s^* = \sum_q \psi_q \psi_q^* = 1$$

and that both statements are immnediate if one works from $\boldsymbol{\rho}_s = |\psi)(\psi|$.

If the system and probe are only "mentally conjoined" (their respective quantum states disentangled) the density operator of the conjoint systems is

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \left(\sum \psi_r \phi_m | r) \otimes | m\right) \cdot \left(\sum (s | \otimes (n | \psi_s^* \phi_n^*)\right)$$
$$= \left(\sum \psi_r | r) (s | \psi_s^*\right) \otimes \left(\sum \phi_m | m) (n | \phi_n^*\right)$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\rho}_s \otimes \boldsymbol{\rho}_m$$

We can recover either factor by using the *partial trace* to "reduce" $\pmb{\rho}$ by "tracing out" the unwanted factor:

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1} \boldsymbol{\rho} \equiv \sum_{q} \left(\left(q \mid \otimes \mathbf{I}_{m} \right) \boldsymbol{\rho} \left(\mid q \right) \otimes \mathbf{I}_{m} \right)$$
$$= \underbrace{\left(\sum_{q} \sum_{rs} \psi_{r}(q \mid r)(s \mid q) \mid \psi_{s}^{*} \right)}_{1} \otimes \underbrace{\left(\sum_{mn} \phi_{m} \mid m \right)(n \mid \phi_{n}^{*} \right)}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}_{m}}$$
$$= \boldsymbol{\rho}_{m}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}_{2} \boldsymbol{\rho} \equiv \sum_{p} \left(\mathsf{I}_{s} \otimes (p|) \, \boldsymbol{\rho} \left(\mathsf{I}_{s} \otimes |p) \right) \\ = \boldsymbol{\rho}_{s}$$

The partial trace concept remains in force (and acquires special importance) even when the state of the ρ of the composite system is mixed or entangled. One then has

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \sum \rho_{rm;sn} \left(|r\rangle \otimes |m\rangle \right) \cdot \left((s \otimes (n |)) \right)$$

with $\rho_{rm,sn}^* = \rho_{sn;rm}$ and $\sum_{rm} \rho_{rm;rm} = 1$ and defines

$$\operatorname{tr}_{1} \boldsymbol{\rho} \equiv \sum_{p} \left((p \mid \otimes \mathbf{I}_{m}) \, \boldsymbol{\rho} \left(|p\rangle \otimes \mathbf{I}_{m} \right) \right.$$
$$= \sum_{p} \sum_{mn} \rho_{pm;pn} \left| m \right) (n \mid$$

$$\operatorname{tr}_{2} \boldsymbol{\rho} \equiv \sum_{q} \left(\mathbf{I}_{s} \otimes (q) \right) \boldsymbol{\rho} \left(\mathbf{I}_{s} \otimes |q) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{q} \sum_{rs} \rho_{rq;sq} |r)(s|$$

Clearly

$$\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\rho} = \operatorname{tr} (\operatorname{tr}_1 \boldsymbol{\rho}) = \operatorname{tr} (\operatorname{tr}_2 \boldsymbol{\rho}) = \sum_{pq} \rho_{pq;pq} = 1$$

The operators $\boldsymbol{\rho}$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S} &\equiv \mathrm{tr}_2 \, \boldsymbol{\rho} = \sum_q \sum_{rs} \rho_{rq;sq} \, |r)(s| \\ \mathbf{M} &\equiv \mathrm{tr}_1 \, \boldsymbol{\rho} = \sum_p \sum_{mn} \rho_{pm;pn} \, |m)(n| \end{split}$$

are self-adjoint, so can be brought to diagonal (spectral representative) form

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\rho} &= \sum_{u} |R_{u}\rangle R_{u}(R_{u}| \quad : \quad |R_{u}) \text{ live in } \mathcal{H}_{s} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{m} \\ \mathbf{S} &= \sum_{i} |S_{i}\rangle S_{i}(S_{i}| \quad : \quad |S_{i}) \text{ live in } \mathcal{H}_{s} \\ \mathbf{M} &= \sum_{j} |M_{j}\rangle M_{j}(M_{j}| \quad : \quad |M_{j}) \text{ live in } \mathcal{H}_{m} \end{split}$$

by unitary transformation. One has

$$\label{eq:star} \begin{split} &\mathrm{tr}\; \mathbf{S} = \sum_i S_i = 1\\ &\mathrm{tr}\; \mathbf{S}^2 = \sum_i S_i^2 = \sum_{pq} \sum_{rs} \rho_{rp;sp}\, \rho_{sq;rq} \leqslant 1 \end{split}$$

and can say similar things about ${\rm tr}\,M$ and ${\rm tr}\,M^2.$

If we had had the foresight to work in the eigenbases of the reduced density matrices ${\sf S}$ and ${\sf M}$ we would have had

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \sum_{ijkl} R_{ik;jl} \left(|S_i| \otimes |M_k| \right) \cdot \left((S_j | \otimes (M_l |) \right)$$

which if $\pmb{\rho}$ referred to a disentangled pure state of the composite system would have assumed the form

$$= \left(\sum_{i} S_{i}|S_{i}\rangle(S_{i}|\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{k} M_{k}|M_{k}\rangle(M_{k}|\right)$$
$$= \sum_{ik} S_{i}M_{k}(|S_{i}\rangle \otimes |M_{k}\rangle) \cdot \left((S_{i}|\otimes (M_{k}|)\right)$$

which would entail $R_{ik;jl} = S_i M_k \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$.

4